Evidence of Student Learning Rubric

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (Line 1) Developmental appropriateness and responsiveness to individual differences  | The plan is significantly above or below developmental level of students, and insensitive to individual differences in relation to either content or approach.  | The plan is either significantly above or below developmental level of students, or insensitive to individual differences in relation to either content or approach.  | The plan is matched to the developmental level of students, and sensitive to individual differences, in relation to either content or approach.  | The plan is matched to the developmental level of students, and adapted to individual differences, in relation to both content and approach.  |
| (Line 2) Instructional approaches  | Instruction used in the lesson plan is not varied, is not well-matched to content, and does not align with objectives.  | Instruction used in the plan matches content and is aligned with objectives, but is not varied.  | Instruction used in the lesson plan is varied, well-matched to content and aligns with objectives.  | Multiple instructional strategies are used, align with content and objectives, and are adjusted during instruction to contribute to student learning |
| (Line 3) Connection between objectives/outcomes, assessment, and learning activities  | Assessment is a group assessment, does not align with objectives, and is informal.  | Assessment is individual but either does not align with objectives or is informal.  | Assessment is individual, aligns with objectives and learning activities, and is formal.  | Assessment is individual, aligned with objectives and promotes student self-evaluation, and is formal.  |
| (Line 4) Levels of Performance/Error Patterns  | Levels of performance/error patterns are not identified.  | Levels of performance/error patterns are identified but are inaccurate or misleading.  | Levels of performance/error patterns are accurately identified.  | Levels of performance/error patterns are accurately identified and are specific to learner error.  |
| (Line 5) Ethical and Bias Free Assessment Tool  | Assessment tool is poorly designed and inappropriate for some learners.  | Assessment tool is poorly designed or inappropriate for some learners.  | Assessment is well designed and appropriate for all learners in content, format, and delivery.  | Assessment tool and assessment conditions are differentiated for learners and appropriate in content, format, and delivery.  |
| (Line 6) Accurate Representation of Assessment results  | Assessment results are not presented.  | Assessment results are reported in a way that could lead to misinterpretation.  | Assessment results are accurately presented.  | Assessment results are accurately presented and linked to other assessment information.  |
| (Line 7) Reflection on Instruction  | Reflection indicated either strengths or areas for growth.  | Reflection indicated how this instruction demonstrated strengths and areas for growth.  | Reflection clearly indicated how this instruction demonstrated strengths, areas for growth, and strategies for improvement.  | Reflection clearly indicated how instruction demonstrated strengths, areas for growth, and strategies for improvement that involve professional development.  |
| (Line 8) Reflection on Future Instruction  | No consideration is given to how future instruction will be informed by levels of performance/error patterns.  | Consideration is given to how future instruction will be informed by levels of performance/error patterns but consideration is incomplete or confused.  | Reflection clearly indicates how future instruction will be informed by levels of performance/error patterns.  | Reflection clearly indicates how future instruction will be differentiated by levels of performance/error patterns.  |